1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 10 11 BRIAN JOHNSON, et al., 12 Plaintiffs, CASE NO. C06-0900RAJ 13 v. 14 ORDER MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 The court has reviewed Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration (Dkt. # 195) and 19 20 Defendant's response thereto (Dkt. # 201). 21 Pursuant to Local Rules W.D. Wash. CR 7(h)(1), motions for reconsideration are 22 disfavored, and will ordinarily be denied unless there is a showing of (a) manifest error in 23 the prior ruling, or (b) facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to the 24 attention of the court earlier, through reasonable diligence. The sole basis for 25 reconsideration is Plaintiffs' submission of evidence that it did not submit in support of 26 its original motion. Plaintiffs have offered no explanation as to why they could not have 27

brought this evidence to the court's attention earlier in the exercise of reasonable

28

ORDER

1	diligence. Furthermore, even if the court did consider the new evidence, it does not
2	establish that the previous ruling was erroneous.
3	Accordingly, Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration (Dkt. # 195) is DENIED.
4	
5	Dated this 15 th day of January, 2009.
6	
7	Qil la h
8	Richard A free
9	The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
25 26	
26 27	
<i>∟ </i>	

ORDER

28